

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/783,208	02/14/2001	David M. Filgas	GSIL 0153 PUS	2784		
75	90 09/25/2002					
David R. Syrowik			EXAMINER			
Brooks & Kushman P.C. 22nd Floor			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H			
1000 Town Center Southfield, MI 48075-1351			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Southfeld, MI 40073 1331			2828			
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-							. /		
Office Action Summary		Application No.			Applicant(s)				
		09/783,208	3	•	FILGAS, DAVID	M.	V		
		Examin r			Art Unit				
		Cornelius F			2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
	• •	(IS SET TO	FXPI	RE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 20	<u>01</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is i	non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
-	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.								
5 \ \	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					-50			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				PAUL I				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
/—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		objecte	d to by the Exa	aminer.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s)	be held	in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ ap	proved	d b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmer		p.ioiity wi		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	<u>6 & 7</u> .	5) 🔲 1		ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/783,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 08 February 2002, has been entered. Upon entrance of the Amendment claim 8 was amended and claims 21-23 were added. Claims 1-23 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Garrec et al. (6091746). Le Garrec et al. teach a method for cooling at least one laser diode with a cooling fluid which does not come into direct contact with the at least one laser diode, the method comprising: providing a source of cooling fluid; positioning heat sinks on opposing sides of the at least one laser diode wherein one of the two heat sinks has a passage formed therein and therein the passages are in fluid communication with the source of the cooling fluid but not with the at least one laser diode; and circulating the cooling fluid through the passages wherein heat is removed from the sides of the least one laser diode by conduction into the heat sinks and



Application/Control Number: 09/783,208

Art Unit: 2828

wherein heat is removed from the heat sinks by the cooling fluid via forced convection, see col. 3, line 54-col. 4, line 56. Le Garrec et al. fails to teach each heat sink having a passage where there is fluid communication with the source of the cooling fluid but not with the at least one laser diode and circulating the cooling fluid through the passages wherein heat is removed formed in each heat sink, instead Le Garrec et al. teach for every pair of heat sinks, one heat exchange takes place by natural convection of the ambient air and other by circulating the cooling fluid through the passages, see col. 1, lines 39-46. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to place a passage in the each of the heat sinks for heat exchange by convection of a cooling fluid, since applicant has not disclosed that by having fluid cooling on two opposing sides of the laser solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with heat exchange taking place by natural convection of the ambient air.

Regarding claim 5, Le Garrec et al. teach positioning heat sinks on opposing sides of each of the laser diodes 4 such that each heat sink 6 and 14 is in contact with a single laser diode 4, see col. 4, lines 16-24.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Le Garrec et al. teach electrically and thermally bonding the heat sinks to the at least one laser diode, see col. 3, line 54-col. 4, line 9.

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Le Garrec et al. teach the heat sinks serve as electrical connections to and from the at least one laser diode, see col. 4, lines 13-14.



Application/Control Number: 09/783,208

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 4, Le Garrec et al. teach a heat spreader made of a material different than the material of the heat sink to which the heat spreader is attached, see col. 3, lines 54-63.

Regarding claim 8, Le Garrec et al. teach all the stated limitations, **see col. 4, lines 41-56**. Also, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material/arrangement on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claim 10, Le Garrec et al. teach a system **Fig. 1** for cooling at least one laser diode **4** with a cooling fluid which does not come into direct contact with the at least one laser diode, the system comprising: a source of cooling fluid; a plurality of heat sinks and a mechanism for circulating the cooling fluid, **see claim 1 above**.

Regarding claim 15, Le Garrec et al. teach a system **Fig. 1** for cooling an array of laser diodes with a cooling fluid which does not come into direct contact with the array laser diodes, the system comprising: a source of cooling fluid; a plurality of heat sinks and a mechanism for circulating the cooling fluid, **see claim 5 above**.

Regarding claims 11 and 16, Le Garrec et al. teach a flow inlet and a low outlet.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 17 and 18, Le Garrec et al. teach a support structure.

Regarding claims 14 and 20, Le Garrec et al. teach all the stated limitations, see

col. 3, line 54-col. 4, line 9.

Regarding claim 19, Le Garrec et al. teach all the stated limitations, see Fig. 1, reference number 52.



Application/Control Number: 09/783,208

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 21-23, Le Garrec et al. teach all the stated limitations, see 8 above.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rosen et al. (4899204), Marshall et al. (5898211) and North et al. (6131650) all disclose a similar device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Paul II

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

chi

September 12, 2002